MINUTES OF MEETING

Thursday, August 16, 2018

	_		
MEETING CALLED BY	BIDS AND AWARDS COMM	ITTEE 4	
TYPE OF MEETING	Prebid Conference		
PROJECT	Provision of Security Personnel	for Manila International Airport Authority (MIAA)	
REFERENCE NO.	PB 18-232-4		
	BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE 4		
	Engr. Ian T. Fajarito Atty. Divina Gracia A. Bacal Ms. Karen Anne F. Requintina Mr. Roberto Bernal	Vice Chairperson Regular Member Provisional Member Alternate AdHoc Representative	
	Procurement Division 4 Ms. Rose Alvidale G. Ladlad Mr. Nikko C. Valenzona	Secretariat Secretariat	
	Procurement Group I Mr. Virgilio O. Suarez	Member	
ATTENDEES	End-User Agency(ies) Capt Dominador Vergara Mr. Bernard Viola Ms. Rochelle Cabrera	MIAA, End-user Representative MIAA, End-user Representative MIAA, End-user Representative	
	Bidder(s): Mr. Paul Jade Mabilog Ms. Elvira A. Reinante Mr. Guillermo Tabaldo Ms. Marife Pangilinan Ms. Merly Manadong Ms. Marilyn Salaysay Mr. Jamil Estorninos Ms. Rhenelle Mae Operario	NC Lanting Security Specialist Agency NC Lanting Security Specialist Agency ICT Security and Investigative Agency, Inc Variance Security Agency Corporation Naprossi Security Services, Inc. Naprossi Security Services, Inc. Advance Forces Security Lockheed Federal Security Agency, Inc	

2:00 PM

CONFERENCE ROOM C

	Ms. Rodora M. Dela Cruz	Lockheed Federal Security Agency, Inc.
	Ms. Jonalyn S. Ganoyo	Eastern Force Security Agency Corporation
	Mr. Jason G. Grona	888 Gallant Security Services Corporation
	Mr. Ramonito R. Retiban	888 Gallant Security Services Corporation
	Mr. Mariano A. Liwag	888 Gallant Security Services Corporation
	Mr. Angelo M. Salmeron	Matrix Corp. Security Services, Inc.
	Mr. Dennis Mescaraldo	Grand Meritus Security Agency, Inc.
	Ms. Henry G. Sabarre	Matrix Corp. Security Services, Inc.
	1. The scheduled Prebid Confere Chairperson presiding.	ence started at 2:15 PM with the Vice
CALL TO ORDER	2. The Chairperson acknowledged the	e presence of the attendees.
	3. Having established the required question the presentation of the project.	uorum, the PMO-in-charge proceeded with

DISCUSSION

I. BID DOCUMENTS	
	XXX Bidders should have completed, within five (5) years from the date of submission and receipt of bids, a contract similar to the Project. XXX
INSTRUCTION TO BIDDERS	The representative from Advance Forces manifested their observation and suggested to the BAC, to reword the terms to specify the period of 2013-2018.
	The BAC took note of the observation and any changes will be reflected on the Bid Bulletin to be issued for the Project.
BID DATA SHEET	BDS Clause No. 29.2 Page No. 43 X X X 3. Proof of completion of the single largest contract as identified in the Statement of Single Largest Contract, which shall be copy of any verifiable document(s) such as but not limited to the following: (a) Contract/s or Purchase Order/s; (b) corresponding Sales Invoice/s; (c) Official Receipt/Cash Receipt/Collection Receipt; and (d) Certificate of Satisfactory Completion; X X X
	The representative from Lockheed Federal inquired if they are required to submit the actual contract during Post Qualification.
	The Vice Chairperson clarified that they are not prohibited to submit the actual contract but only required to submit proofs of completion of the declared single largest contract.

	BDS Clause No. 29.2 Page No. 44 **x ** **11. d. Additional Security Plan **x ** The representative from Advance Forces Security Corp inquired on the definition of the requirement. The BAC took note of the concern raised and will be clarified on the Bid Bulletin to be issued for the Project.
GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT	NO DISCUSSION
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT	NO DISCUSSION
SCHEDULE OF DEPLOYMENT	SECTION VI Page No. 70 X X X Within seven (7) calendar days from the receipt of Notice to Proceed X X X The Vice Chairperson inquired if the bidders can comply with the said requirement. The representative from Naprossi Security Services, Inc. inquired if the requirement can be revised to Thirty (30) Calendar Days. The Vice Chairperson inquired if there are other bidders who share the same concern with Naprossi Security Services, Inc. The representatives from Advance Forces Security and Grand Meritus signified their agreement The representatives from ICT Security and Investigative Agency, Inc and Lockheed Federal suggested a fifteen (15) day period while NC Lanting and 888 Gallant proposed to maintain the requirement of seven (7) calendar days. The BAC took note of the feedbacks from the bidders and that any changes will be reflected on a Bid Bulletin to be issued for the Project.

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION VII Page No. 72

The Provisional Member emphasized that the Reference Column will be omitted and the changes will be reflected on the Bid Bulletin to be issued for the Project.

XXX

D. Must be able to submit latest Certification of Satisfactory Performance from previous and existing clients during post qualification evaluation stage of the bidding.

XXX

The representative from Advance Forces inquired if there is a specific timeline for this requirement similar to the requirement of the SLCC which requires declaring/submitting details for the last five (5) years.

The End User representatives from MIAA replied to the inquiry that they must submit all certifications of Satisfactory Performance but clarified that this will be reviewed, and the final changes will be reflected on the Bid Bulletin for the Project.

XXX

E. Must have no pending case against the government or any of its' agencies including government owned and controlled corporation.

XXX

TERMS OF REFERENCE

The representative from Variance Security Agency Corporation inquired if it's allowed if an agency has been cleared of its pending cases a day before the opening of bids.

The Vice-Chairperson answered in the affirmative, provided that the bidder will submit proof that they have been cleared of any cases.

XXX

A total of sixteen (16) hours training on the following:

- 1. Emergency
- 2. First Aid
- 3. Disaster

XXX

The representative from Advance Forces inquired if the training of 16 hours is an aggregate number of training hours of the three.

Capt Vergara answered in the affirmative.

The representative from Advance Forces asked for a follow up clarification, if the

training requirement needs to be complied during the Opening/Awarding or during the issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Mr. Viola replied that it must be complied during the Opening of Bids.

Annex No. A Page No. 78

The representative from Variance inquired regarding the computation, if it will be based at 20% and it was their observation that the admin cost is not indicated in the Terms of Reference.

The TWG from MIAA answered that it is 20% due to the budget for terminal 2 (lot 2).

The BAC took note and will review the bidder's concern.

Mr. Viola from MIAA added that the computations are already included, and the computations are in accordance with the Wage Order No. 21 issued by DOLE.

Annex No. B Page No. 82

BIDDING FORMS

The Secretariat presented the form for the Omnibus Sworn Statement, instructing the Bidders to use the provided form due to cases of bidders using other forms that lack the 10th declaration.

The Representative from Advance Force Security Corp. inquired if since they intend to participate in only one lot, the Bid Bulletins specified per lot.

The Vice Chairperson replied to the inquiry, stating that all Bid Bulletins will be applicable for both lots unless it is specified in the Bid Bulletin.

Annex No. C-1 Page No. 86

The Vice Chairperson reiterated that the bidders must declare all ongoing contracts to avoid Blacklisting.

Annex No. H Page No. 96

The secretariat presented the forms of Bid Security and their Respective amounts. The Vice – Chairperson further explained the

Mechanics of the submission of the Bid Securing Declaration.

The Vice Chairperson emphasized on the Reference Number to be used for the Bid Securing Declaration and directed the Bidders to the cover page of the Bidding Documents for the correct reference to be used for the Bid Securing Declaration.

The representative from NC Lanting inquired if its possible to refund the payment for the bidding documents for a specific lot in the case that they have decided not to participate on the bidding process.

The Vice Chairperson clarified to the bidder that refunds are not allowed.

The Vice Chairperson reiterated that all discussions are not final unless it is reflected on the Bid Bulletin to be issued for the Project.

It was observed that Annex I of the Bidding Forms is missing from the Bidding Documents.

The Provisional Member gave that attending bidders who purchased the Bidding Documents the form to be used for Annex I.

Mr. Viola further emphasized that bidders must not falsify any of their details and it will be subjected to an extensive validation and checking. Those who are found to have falsified their respective details will be disqualified as well as Blacklisted.

The Vice Chairperson reminded the bidders that Annex I will be submitted during the Opening of Bids as part of the eligibility documents.

The Alternate AdHoc Representative reminded the Bidders, if they have their own training institution/facilities it must be duly accredited by OTS.

II. OTHER DISCUSSIONS		
OTHER MATTERS	The Secretariat emphasized on the Rules of Notarial Practice, specifically that the BAC will not accept Community Tax Certificate (CTC) as a competent evidence of Identity.	
ADJOURNMENT	Having no other matters for discussion, the meeting was adjourned at 3: 50 PM	
CERTIFICATION	We certify that the foregoing is true account of Prebid Conference conducted on Thursday, August 16, 2018.	

	Procurement Division 4:	
PREPARED BY	MS. ROSE ALVIDALE G. LADLAD Secretariat	
	MR. NIKKO C. VALENZONA Secretariat	
CERTIFIED CORRECT	MR. ROBERTO BERNAL Alternate AdHoc Representative, MIAA	
	MS. KAREN ANNE F. REQUINTINA Provisional Member, Bids and Awards Committee 4	
	ATTY. DIVINA GRACIA A. BACAL Regular Member, Bids and Awards Committee 4	
	ENGR. IAN T. FAJARITO Vice Chairperson, Bids and Awards Committee 4	